# **Public Matter**

1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA	
2	CHARLES BERWANGER (SBN: 047282) SPECIAL DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000	
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-7784	EII ED
4	cberwanger@grsm.com	FILED
5	EDWARD J. MCINTYRE (SBN: 80402) SPECIAL DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL	7/5/2022
6	401 W. A Street, Suite 1725 San Diego, CA 92101	STATE BAR COURT
7 8	Telephone: (619) 992-9038 edmcintyre@ethicsguru.law	CLERK'S OFFICE
9		LOS ANGELES
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of:	) Case No. SBC-22-O-30655
13	JOSEPH LAWRENCE DUNN,	) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES
14	State Bar No. 123063,	) (OCTC Case No. 17-O-07271)
15	An Attorney of the State Bar	
16		
17	<u>NOTICE - FAI</u>	LURE TO RESPOND!
18	WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT	
19		
20	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE I (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE	ENTERED; CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU
21	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMI	TO PRACTICE LAW; TTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN
22	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET	
23		ALL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE
24	ORDER RECOMMENDING	ULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN G YOUR DISBARMENT AND MAY
25	WITHOUT FURTHER HEAI	SITION OF MONETARY SANCTIONS RING OR PROCEEDING. (SEE RULES
26	PROC. OF STATE BAR, RUL	LES 5.80 ET SEQ. & 5.137.)
27	///	
(11)	1.1	

The State Bar of California alleges:

## 

28 ||///

///

## JURISDICTION

1. Joseph Lawrence Dunn ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 10, 1986. Respondent was a licensed attorney at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a licensed attorney of the State Bar of California.

#### **BACKGROUND**

2. At all relevant times, respondent was the Executive Director of the State Bar of California ("Executive Director"). In his capacity as Executive Director, respondent owed The Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California ("Board") a fiduciary duty.

#### COUNT ONE

Case No. 17-O-07271
Business and Professions Code, section 6106
[Moral Turpitude – Misrepresentation]

- 3. The allegations set forth in paragraph 2 are incorporated by reference.
- 4. On or about May 6, 2014, respondent, in his capacity as Executive Director, recommended that the Board sponsor the California State Assembly Bill AB 852 and stated in writing to the Board that "there is no known opposition to the measure" when respondent knew that statement was false and misleading. Respondent thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6106.
- 5. A violation of section 6106 may result from intentional conduct or grossly negligent conduct. Respondent is charged with committing intentional misrepresentation. However, should the evidence at trial demonstrate that respondent committed misrepresentation as a result of gross negligence, respondent must still be found culpable of violating section 6106 because misrepresentation through gross negligence is a lesser included offense of intentional misrepresentation.

1 COUNT TWO 2 Case No. 17-O-07271 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude – Misrepresentation] 3 6. The allegations set forth in paragraph 2 are incorporated by reference. 4 5 7. In or about November 2013, respondent, in his capacity as Executive Director, stated 6 to the Board that no State Bar funds would be used to fund a trip to Mongolia in January 2014 7 when respondent knew that statement was false and misleading. Respondent thereby committed 8 an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in willful violation of Business and 9 Professions Code, section 6106. 10 8. A violation of section 6106 may result from intentional conduct or grossly negligent 11 conduct. Respondent is charged with committing intentional misrepresentation. However, 12 should the evidence at trial demonstrate that respondent committed misrepresentation as a result 13 of gross negligence, respondent must still be found culpable of violating section 6106 because 14 misrepresentation through gross negligence is a lesser included offense of intentional 15 misrepresentation. 16 COUNT THREE 17 Case No. 17-O-07271 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 18 [Moral Turpitude – Breach of Fiduciary Duties as Executive Director] 19 9. The allegations set forth in Counts One and Two are incorporated by reference. 20 10. Between November 2013 and May 2014, respondent repeatedly breached his 21 fiduciary duties to the Board in his capacity as Executive Director by recommending that the 22 Board sponsor AB 852, misrepresenting to the Board that there was "no known opposition to 23 [AB 852]," and misrepresenting to the Board that no State Bar funds would be used in 24 connection with a trip to Mongolia in January 2014. By repeatedly breaching his fiduciary duties 25 to the Board, respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in 26 willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6106. 27 11. A violation of section 6106 may result from intentional conduct or grossly negligent

conduct. Respondent is charged with committing intentional breaches of his fiduciary duties.

28

1	However, should the evidence at trial demonstrate that respondent breached his fiduciary dutie		
2	as a result of gross negligence, respondent must still be found culpable of violating section 610		
3	because breach of fiduciary duties through gross negligence is a lesser included offense of		
4	intentional breach of fiduciary duties.		
5	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!		
6	COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL		
7			
8	THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN		
9	RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.		
10			
11	NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!		
12	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS		
13	INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND		
14	PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.		
15	NOTICE – MONETARY SANCTION!		
16	IN THE EVENT THIS MATTER RESULTS IN ACTUAL SUSPENSION, DISBARMENT, OR RESIGNATION WITH		
17	CHARGES PENDING, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF A MONETARY SANCTION NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000 FOR EACH		
18	VIOLATION, TO A MAXIMUM OF \$50,000 PER DISCIPLINARY ORDER, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.13. SEE RULE 5.137, RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.		
19			
20			
21	Respectfully submitted,		
22	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL		
23			
24	DATED: July 5 2022 By:		
25	DATED: July 5, 2022  By:  Charles Berwanger		
26	Edward J. McIntyre Special Deputy Trial Counsel		
27			

28

Edward J. McIntyre / Chares V. Berwanger 101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101 Edward McIntyre, Esq.

In the Matter of Joseph Lawrence Dunn State Bar No. 123063 a Member of the State Bar SBC-17-O-07271

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is: Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000, San Diego, CA 92101. On **July 5, 2022**, I served the within documents:

#### NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

VIA FAX: by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax
 number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.

- VIA PERSONAL SERVICE: by causing to be personally delivered the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in United States mail in the State of California at San Diego, addressed as set forth below. VIA CERTIFIED MAIL/RRR
- VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: By transmitting a pdf.format version of the document(s) via electronic mail to the party(s) identified on the service list using the email address(es) indicated.
- OVERNIGHT: By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, at a station designated for collection and processing of envelopes and packages for overnight delivery by FedEx (or other overnight service) as part of the ordinary business practices of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP described below, addressed as follows:

Co-Counsel for

401 W. A St. Ste. 1725 San Diego, CA Tel: 619-992-9038 edmcintyre@ethicsguru.law	State Bar of California
Mark J. Geragos Ben J. Meiselas Geragos & Geragos 644 S. Figueroa St., Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: 213-625-3900 Fax: 213-625-1600 Email: mark@geragos.com	Counsel for Joseph Lawrence Dunn
Ellen A. Pansky, Esq. PANSKY MARKLE Attorneys At Law 1010 Sycamore Ave. Suite 308 South Pasadena, CA 91030 Tel. (213) 626-7300 Ext. 101 Fax (213) 626-7330 epansky@panskymarkle.com  Sydnie Sanchez ssanchez@panskymarkle.com	Co-Counsel for Joseph Lawrence Dunn

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on July 5, 2022 at San Diego, California.